# CAB1305(TP) - APPENDIX D

## SUMMARY OF CORRESPONDENCE TO VARIATION OF FEES FOR ON-STREET PARKING PERMITS IN WINCHESTER

### OBJECTIONS

CORRESPONDENT P Armistead	GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION 1, Initial request for information followed by letter of objection.	OFFICERS RESPONSE Information requested supplied.
34 Wentworth Grange	2, Reason for objection that increase is too large percentage.	Letter of acknowledgement sent.
o i frontiforar orango	3, Comment regarding other possible revisions to waiting	Acknowledged, but not directly related to this proposal.
	restrictions in College Street.	
A E Bradfield	<ol> <li>Information request regarding the inclusion of discounts for environmentally friendly vehicles.</li> </ol>	Information requested supplied.
15 Saxon Road	2, This goes against the principle of making the scheme cost neutral.	Letter of acknowledgement sent.
	<ol> <li>Suggest proposal is discriminatory against those that cannot afford a new car within VED Band A or B.</li> </ol>	
F Wheeler	1, Cannot afford the proposed increase.	Letter of acknowledgement sent.
10a Upper Brook Street	2, Request clarification on what is meant by 'cost neutral'.	Information requested supplied.
	3, Lack of residents bays within Zone M.	Acknowledged, but not directly related to this proposal.
C & R Owen	20% increase beyond inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
61 Cheriton Road		
F E Sharples	1, 20% increase is inordinate.	Letter of acknowledgement sent.
18 St Pauls Hill	<ol> <li>Trees in street harbouring pigeons which damage parked vehicles needs to be addressed.</li> </ol>	Acknowledged, but not directly related to this proposal.
	<ol> <li>Revision to waiting restrictions in St Pauls Hill has not been introduced.</li> </ol>	Acknowledged, but not directly related to this proposal.
E J Stephens	Objecting on the grounds as stated - to protect and enhance	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
2 Mews Lane	the environment and improve air quality in Winchester.	
S Roberts	Fees high enough already, 20% increase is excessive.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
202 Stockbridge Road		
R B Merton	1, Request for further information and objection on the grounds that not enough information had been provided.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection and additional information requested sent.
17 Clifton Road	<ol> <li>Further information requested on estimated income and expenditure on-street parking.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>Further information requested on estimated income and expenditure for park and ride site,</li> </ol>	
	4, Further information requested of estimate for penalty charge notices and what happens to this income.	
J E Adams	Objection to charges being based on carbon dioxide emissions.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
9 Kerrfield		
N Caldwell	1, Increase in excess of inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
36 Hatherley Road	2, Should look to make savings not just put fees up.	
B Clegg	1, Increase in excess of inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
42 St Faiths Road	2, Should look to make savings not just put fees up.	
J & S Feecham	Exact ground for objection not specified.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
Clausentum Road		
A Head	1, Fees only recently increased.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
164 Stockbridge Road	2, Fees already increased substantially since first introduced.	
	<ol> <li>First permit is a requirement whether or not a car is owned as a permit is necessary for use by visiting tradesmen.</li> </ol>	
	4, Environmentally friendly cars would appear to be only friendly in town rather than on long distance journeys and are expensive to purchase.	

## SUMMARY OF CORRESPONDENCE TO VARIATION OF FEES FOR ON-STREET PARKING PERMITS IN WINCHESTER

#### **OBJECTIONS** continued

C McKinnon	1, Increase in excess of inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
St Cross	2, Should look to make savings not just put fees up.	
	3, Linking fees to carbon dioxide emissions is not fair.	
	4, Consideration for other lower VED bands. Why not zone	
	parking charges based on emissions for inner and outer zones.	
M Robinson	Increase of £4 very difficult for many people especially elderly	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
53 St Catherines Road	who have no car but need a permit for ocassional visitors.	
K F Ryan	1, Introduction of discounts for environmentally friendly vehicles illogical.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
2 Prinstead Close	2, Should be based on all seven VED bands.	
	3, Should be based on physical size of vehicle.	
	4, Council should look at other policies to address traffic such as Parchment Street and Upper High Street traffic flows.	
R C Peterson	1, Objects to introduction of discounts for environmentally friendly as this puts extra cost of those paying full fee.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
15 West End Terrace	2, Should be based on physical size of vehicle.	
H Sharman	1, Objection and request for information about costs of scheme.	Information requested supplied.
9 Hyde Close	2, Request for explanation of benefits of the scheme and any measures to improve parking provision for residents.	
G E French	1, Increase in excess of inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
169 Greenhill Road	2, Comment on complaints to Parking Office regarding enforcementin Greenhill Road area.	Acknowledged, but not directly related to this proposal.
S Morea	1, Discrimates on those that have no choice to park off-street, especially retired, elderly and families. More wealthy houses have space for off street parking are exempt from these	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent responding individual objections.
28 St Faiths Road	2, Barely one year since previous increase which was above inflation and this new 20% increase is too high and above 3, Discounts for VED band A and B cars discriminatory and favours those who can afford such vehicles.	
	<ol> <li>Definitions of VED Bands used premature and too narrow for an area with families - cars too few, too small and expensive.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>This is our home and community - permits originally designed to be a deterrent to commuter parking now seen as an extra tax.</li> <li>WCC should be offering efficiencies in parking operation not just increasing fees.</li> </ol>	
	<ul> <li>7, Families penalized year on year for having more than one cal</li> <li>PLUS penalized when friends or relations visit in cars - £50 is</li> <li>excessive when there is no choice.</li> </ul>	n
	<ol> <li>Already pay very high Council Tax and Road Tax which subsidizes many Council functions.</li> </ol>	
	9, Residents not asked directly to sign up to mandate of making scheme cost neutral.	
C Balfour	1, Increase in excess of inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
St Cross	2, Should look to make savings not just put fees up.	
A Lothian	1, Previous increases to fees excessive.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
54 Stockbridge Road	2, This proposal is higher than inflation.	
	3, Why offer discounts for environmentally friendly vehicles.	
1	4, Should be looking at cutting costs.	

# CAB1305(TP) - APPENDIX D

### SUMMARY OF CORRESPONDENCE TO VARIATION OF FEES FOR ON-STREET PARKING PERMITS IN WINCHESTER

#### **OBJECTIONS** continued

23	M A Gardiner	1, Increase becoming an annual event.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
	3 The Walk, Crowder Terrace	2, Continual annual increase in Council Tax	
		3, Definition of VED not explained.	
		<ol> <li>Why do Parking Attendants often patrol in pairs in the evening yet patrol alone during daytime.</li> </ol>	
		5, Enforcement hours of Crowder Terrace Car park causes inconvenience and frustration to residents.	
24	B Hammersley	1, Present scheme rarely enforced.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
	4 Birinus Road	2, Present scheme does not represent good value for money.	
		3, Markings not maintained.	
		4, Existing restrictions not consistently enforced.	
25	A Newens	1, Parking bays taken by non-residents after 6pm.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
	66 Water Lane	2, Non-residents parking over night in bays.	
		3, Extended shoppiong hours, Sunday openning and Farmers Market means that residents competeing after 6pm for parking.	
		4, People fishing park in residenst bays and only move when a Parking Attendant comes along.	
26	L Fenning	1, Increase in excess of inflation.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
	10 St Faiths Road	2, Should look to make savings not just put fees up.	
27	G C Sudbury	1, Not enough information provided regarding vehicles entitled to discounts.	Letter of acknowledgement of objection sent.
	18 Romsey Road	2, Proposal too confusing.	
		3, Fees based fees on vehicle emissions is not correct and is discriminatory.	
		4, Fees should be based on vehicle size, not emissions.	

### COMMENTS/REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

	-		
1		1, Extended shoppiong hours, Sunday openning and Farmers Market means that residents competeing after 6pm for parking.	This is being addressed through a future proposal to make resident permit holder bay 8am to 10pm.
		<ol> <li>Parking bays taken by non-residents after 6pm.</li> <li>Non-residents parking over night in bays.</li> </ol>	Confirmed that this not being considered as official objections unless notified otherwise. No further correspondence received.
2		Request for information regarding meaning of 'cost neutral' and reason for increase in fee by 20%.	Information provided as requested. Confirmed that this not being considered as an official objection unless notified otherwise. No further correspondence received.
3		Request for information regarding meaning of 'cost neutral' and reason for increase in fee by 20%.	Information provided as requested. Confirmed that this not being considered as an official objection unless notified otherwise. No further correspondence received.
4		Comment that proposal discrimates against people that do not own a car but have to provide a permit for tradesmen and ocassional visitors.	Acknowledged. Confirmed that this not being considered as an official objection unless notified otherwise. No further correspondence received.
5		Request for information regarding whether increases in fees needed due to fewer permits being issued.	Letter of acknowledgement sent and comment made on information requested.

## SUPPORT

1	C Holloway	Supports proposal.	Letter of acknowledgement sent.
	14 Fairfield Road		